UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/932,441 | 08/17/2001 | Richard A. Vaughan | EXIN117646 | 3493 | |
| 26389 7590 05/18/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | BACKER, FIRMIN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| <i>52.11.122</i> , ··· | | | 3621 | | |
| | , | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | • | 05/18/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Ammilianalia | - Ma | A !! 4/- \ | | | |
|--|--|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/932,44 | ! 1 | VAUGHAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | FIRMN BA | | 3621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 | February 200 | <u>07</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Ctaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 12th, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-89 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 13, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin, III (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,199).

Art Unit: 3621

system of Goodwinn III.

5. As per claim 1, Goodwin III teaches a method implemented ill a computer device for processing an available inventory item query corresponding to inventory items defined by inventory information, comprising: storing a set of available inventory information according to a three level hierarchy, wherein the three level hierarchy includes: at least one group record defining a first level of detail for inventory information; a set of item category records defining a second level of detail that is associated to a referenced group record mid corresponds to types of inventory items for the referenced group; obtaining, by the computer device, an available inventory query, tile query including a set of criteria; determining at least one inventory item matching the query criteria, and transmitting matching group record, item category record, and inventory record data for the matching inventory item (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60). Goodwin III fail to teach inventory records defining a third level of detail corresponding to instances of inventory item types referenced by the set of item category records. However, it would be obvious added inventory records defining a third level of detail corresponding to instances of inventory item types referenced by the set of item category records in Goodwin III inventive concept because this would enhance the inventory

Page 3

6. As per claim 2, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein determining at least one inventory item includes identifying all inventory items matching the query criteria, wherein each identified inventory item corresponds to a GROUP RECORD and GROUP RECORD inventory record (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

Art Unit: 3621

7. As per claim 3, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein determining at least one inventory

Page 4

item includes applying a supplier limitation of use to select a corresponding GROUP RECORD

and GROUP RECORD inventory record (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line

63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

8. As per claim 4, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein determining at least one inventory

item includes applying a consumer selection limitation of use to select a corresponding GROUP

RECORD and GROUP RECORD inventory record (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2

line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

9. As per claim 5 and 13, Goodwin III teaches a method further comprising processing the

data associated with the identified GROUP RECORD and GROUP RECORD inventory records

prior to transmitting the data (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27,

4 line 9-60).

10. As per claim 6, 7, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein processing the data includes

generating a price corresponding to the set of query criteria, ordered list of prices for one or more

inventory items (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/932,441 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

11. As per claim 22, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein the available inventory query is a

user-specified, available inventory query (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line

63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

12. As per claim 23, Goodwin III teaches a method wherein the GROUP RECORD

information includes a GROUP RECORD group record defining a third level of detail, and

wherein the GROUP RECORD and GROUP RECORD inventory records correspond to the

GROUP RECORD group (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4

line 9-60)..

13. As per claim 24, Goodwin III teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-

executable instructions operable for performing the method recited in any one of claims 1-23

(see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4 line 9-60).

14. As per claim 25, Goodwin III teaches a computer system having a processor, a memory,

and an operating environment, the computer system operable for performing the method recited

in any one of claims 1-23 (see abstract, figs 2, col. 1 lines 42-col. 2 line 9, 2 line 63-3 line 27, 4

line 9-60).

15. Claims 8-12, and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Goodwin, III (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,199) in further view Murch et al (U.S. PG Pub.

2002/0173996).

Art Unit: 3621

16. As per claims 8-12, P Goodwin, III fails to teach a method wherein processing the data includes applying any date based price adjustments based is a tax rate, is a service charge is an extra person charge, is a point of sale variance computed by a date of use. However, Murch et al teach a method wherein processing the data includes applying any date based price adjustments based is a tax rate, is a service charge is an extra person charge, is a point of sale variance computed by a date of use (see figs 2, 3, 13-19, paragraphs 0011, 0012, 0033, 0054). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goodwin, III disclosure to include Murch et al a method wherein processing the data includes applying any date based price adjustments based is a tax rate, is a service charge is an extra person charge, is a point of sale variance computed by a date of use because this would have provided and asynchronous booking with an inventory search query from a consumer. A list of possible travel inventory selections that could be retrieved in response to the search query and presented to the consumer on a client device then respond to the limited availability request by accessing the inventory server and returning an availability response as to whether that particular piece of travel inventory is available at the specified dates and/or times.

Page 6

17. As per claims 14-21, Goodwin, III fails to teach a method wherein the inventory includes travel-based goods and services and wherein the available inventory query includes an available travel-based goods and services query a date or date range selected by a graphical user interface, a selection of a destination, a hotel or hotel room-type, an airline or airline flight, a cruise or cabin type, a car rental vendor or car. However, Murch et al teach a method wherein the

Art Unit: 3621

inventory includes travel-based goods and services and wherein the available inventory query includes an available travel-based goods and services query a date or date range selected by a graphical user interface, a selection of a destination, a hotel or hotel room-type, an airline or airline flight, a cruise or cabin type, a car rental vendor or car (see figs 2, 3, 13-19, paragraphs 0011, 0012, 0033, 0054). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify T Goodwin, III disclosure to include Murch et al a method wherein the inventory includes travel-based goods and services and wherein the available inventory query includes an available travel-based goods and services query a date or date range selected by a graphical user interface, a selection of a destination, a hotel or hotel room-type, an airline or airline flight, a cruise or cabin type, a car rental vendor or car because this would have provided an asynchronous booking with an inventory search query from a consumer wherein a list of possible travel inventory selections that could be retrieved in response to the search query and presented to the consumer on a client device then respond to the limited availability request by accessing the inventory server and returning an availability response as to whether that particular piece of travel inventory is available at the specified dates and/or times.

Page 7

18. As per claims 26-89, they disclose the same invention concept as claims 1-25 and do not further limit the scope of the invention. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rational as claims 1-7, 13, 22-25.

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FIRMIN BACKER whose telephone number is 571-272-6703.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FIRMIN BACKER
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3621